

New Jersey Militia Newsletter

Vol. XXVI, Issue No. 6

December 2020

All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of obtaining safety and happiness.

-- Article 1, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

Why 'preppers' are going mainstream

Silicon Valley entrepreneur Julie Fredrickson remembers clearly the moment she decided to become a "prepper" - somebody who prepares for the worst-case scenario.

It was 2012 and Hurricane Sandy hit New York knocking out the main power plant that powered all of lower Manhattan.

She was left scrabbling in the dark in her high-rise apartment feeling terrified. "I realised I wasn't prepared, I didn't have enough water, I didn't have a radio to listen to emergency broadcasts"

These days Julie is kitted out. When the Covid pandemic hit, she knew exactly what to do: decamp from New York to Colorado. She has a "go-bag" permanently packed with emergency supplies, and she and her husband are now even considering building a bunker or "bug-out" house.

But as a thirty-something, she hardly fits the stereotype of a prepper - with an escape route, medical supplies, a few weeks' worth of food, or even a chemically-insulated, well-stocked isolated bunker in the back of beyond.

Julie is a far cry from the lonely survivalists - perhaps living on the fringes of society and suspicious of any government interference in their lives - that populate the public imagination when it comes to preppers.

"That stereotype... has fallen away," says John Ramey, who runs an online community of preppers in North America called The Prepared.

"The community has pretty much rejected conspiracy and fringe-theory kind of stuff. The vast majority of preppers [today] are serious and rational people, and their number is growing exponentially. It's gone mainstream."

That's not to say those fringe elements have disappeared altogether, just that the communities themselves have become more diverse. The site's biggest age bracket is those aged 25-35, followed by 35-45. Most are living in urban areas and are split evenly between men and women, he says.

He attributes this more diverse demographic to the rising number of natural disasters due to climate, as well as a declining sense of trust in the ability of US infrastructure and institutions to manage the consequences of a disaster.

While the current Covid pandemic is not "the big 'un" in terms of disasters, it has highlighted weaknesses in how we live, he says.

He reckons there are now between five and 15 million peppers in the US. Bradley Garrett, a social geographer at University College Dublin and author of *Bunker: Building for the End Times*, says worldwide there are now 20 million preppers.

"I saw a kind of insatiable desire for self-sufficiency and safety in this age of uncertainty," Dr Garrett says. "It was interesting to me how culturally and politically diverse they were; it was strangely one of the few communities that we find today that really is not partisan."

The focus instead is on learning survival skills, such as water purification techniques, basic medical care and how to power your electrical devices without access to the electricity grid, he says.

Dr Garrett visited self-sufficient developments in Thailand and South Dakota, which is home to Vivos xPoint, an abandoned off-grid former military complex that bills itself as the world's largest survivalist community.

"People assured me they could spend five years underground completely disconnected from infrastructural systems," he says.

But this is a side of prepping that irks Julie Fredrickson. "The high-end version of prepping is ridiculously elitist, I would say almost offensively so," she says.

For that she blames her cohorts in Silicon Valley, the tech moguls there who buy luxury underground bunkers and keep a getaway helicopter permanently fuelled.

Mr Ramey says the majority of preppers on The Prepared are "going to have a few weeks' worth of supplies in their home, we think about that two-week minimum as the baseline. Your first choice is always to shelter at home," he says.

But he adds that many have taken to prepping out of a sense of despair at the inaction of government in the face of crisis. "Taking care of your core survival needs, that should be one of the functions of government and where our tax dollars should go."

Mr Ramey adds: "Are governments not paying as much attention as they should to the climate crisis? And things like the pandemic, it was predictable, experts have been talking about it for years."

"The ineffectiveness of our institutions is what leads us to facing a lot of these problems and yeah, there is resentment there."

— www.bbc.com December 10, 2020

Review: ***Bunker: Building for the End Times*** by Bradley Garrett

A thought-provoking, chilling, and eerily prescient look at "prepper" communities around the world that are building bunkers against a possible apocalypse.

Currently, 3.7 million Americans call themselves preppers. Millions more prep without knowing it. Bradley Garrett, who began writing this book years before the 2020 Covid-19 pandemic, argues that prepping is a rational response to global, social, and political systems that are failing to produce credible narratives of continued stability. Left with a sense of foreboding fueled by disease outbreaks, increasing government dysfunctionality, eroding critical infrastructure, nuclear brinksmanship, and an accelerating climate crisis, people all over the world are responding predictably—by hunkering down.

For this book, Garrett traveled across four continents to meet those who are constructing panic rooms, building underground backyard survival chambers, stockpiling supplies, preparing go bags, hiding inflatable rafts, rigging mobile "bugout" vehicles, and burrowing deep into the earth. He has returned with a brilliant, original and never less than deeply disturbing story from the frontlines of the way we live now: an illuminating reflection on our age of disquiet and dread that brings our times into new and sharper focus.

The "bunker," Garrett shows, is all around us: in malls, airports, gated communities, the vehicles we drive. Most of all, he reveals, it's in our minds.

-- Amazon book blurb

Indonesia police hunt suspected militants after four killed on island

JAKARTA (Reuters) - Police in Indonesia were on Saturday hunting suspected militants accused of killing four people said by rights groups to be Christians, beheading one and burning down their homes.

Ten militants linked to a "terrorist" group beheaded one victim and slit the throats of the others on the island of Sulawesi on Friday, national police spokesman Awi Setiyono quoted a witness as saying.

Indonesia, the world's biggest Muslim-majority country, has grappled with intermittent militant attacks recently.

"This attack is another serious escalation against the Christian minority in Indonesia," Human Rights Watch researcher Andreas Harsono told Reuters.

Gomar Gultom, the head of the Communion of Churches in Indonesia, said the victims were Christian and urged the authorities to resolve the case.

International Christian Concern, a Washington-based advocacy group, said "an alleged terrorist" killed four Christians in the Sulawesi village, burning down a Salvation Army post and Christian homes.

According to witness reports, the perpetrators had asked for food from the victims who were killed when they refused, Awi told Reuters. He denied the attacks were religiously motivated. — Nov. 28, 2020

Letter to Texas Gov. Abbott

Governor Abbott,

12-6-20

Prior to this so-called covid 19 pandemic, your actions have demonstrated sir, that you are a great violator of our 1st Amendment. This was clearly visible when you favored a foreign country over the Texan!

Due to the covid 19, real or not, you Governor, ignoring the oath you swore upon taking office to uphold the laws of our land, the constitution (s) and our Bill of Rights, which rest upon our Declaration of Independence, you have unlawfully, unconstitutionally and without legislative process placed draconian edicts and orders upon the people of Texas!

Not only have you shown out right disrespect for our founding principles, your unlawful actions has expanded governmental powers which gives rise to a police state.

Using an old communist method of dividing the people, essential no essential, you sir are destroying the lives of millions. Pray tell me where and how you have assumed the powers of the Creator of life to determine who shall and who shall not exist?

Using the 24/7 propaganda bombardment, you elected public servants are bringing the people of Texas, through social engineering somewhere.

Is that somewhere a reset to a cashless society which will destroy a key foundation block of our republic, privacy?

Is that somewhere a compulsory Covid 19 Vaccine?

Or is this somewhere, through your actions to assist in destroying our Christian foundation and heritage?

You sir are deciding who can and cant assemble to worship as a body of Christians.

Explain to me the difference of your actions and the actions of the governments that this system told us to fight against?

Governor, why have you denied the people of Texas a live on air open forum debate with your government teams along with the CDC and WHO against qualified people with a counter point to the official narrative?

The people of Texas should have the right to hear this debate and decide for themselves to what direction to follow opposed to goosestepping to the beat of non governmental agencies and governments edicts!

If this is denied to the people, this is without question, a sign of dictatorship!

Just as Col. Travis drawing a line in the sand for his men to cross over and defend liberty, you sir and people like you have drawn a line in the sand against liberty!

Unfortunately the country is full of politicians such as yourself and sad to day, all are Benedict Arnolds to our Republic.
Earl G. Dickey

Virginia's Second Amendment Sanctuaries: Do They Have Legal Effect?

By Stephen P. Halbrook

Abstract of a 48 page study

In response to draconian gun-ban bills pending in the General Assembly, in 2019-20 nearly all Virginia counties and many cities declared themselves "Second Amendment sanctuaries" or otherwise passed resolutions opposing infringement on the right to keep and bear arms. The Virginia Attorney General opined that this response has "no legal effect" and that any such laws must be enforced and obeyed without question.

However, the sanctuary resolutions do constitute exercise of the right to petition. Moreover, local officials have an obligation not to enforce laws of questionable constitutionality that have not been upheld by the courts. This Article argues that the proposed gun bans are unconstitutional infringements on the right to keep and bear arms. That is clear from the constitutional text, the original understanding, and decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court and the Virginia courts.

Moreover, local officials have authority to apply scarce resources to combat violent crime and other crimes that have actual victims. Prosecutorial discretion is basic to our criminal justice system. Some Commonwealth's Attorneys have a policy of not prosecuting marijuana crimes. The Attorney General himself repudiated a provision of the Virginia Constitution with which he disagreed. Enforcement of gun laws is also limited by due process rights and the prohibition on unreasonable searches and seizures.

The firearm prohibitions that passed or are still pending in Virginia criminalize previously-lawful conduct rather than focus on violent criminals. In other jurisdictions where firearms have been banned or required to be registered, citizens have responded with low rates of compliance. Enactment of mala prohibita gun crimes makes criminals out of law-abiding citizens while distracting from actual crime.

-- Independent Institute November 22, 2020

Over 1,100 localities buck gun control

The *Washington Examiner* labelled GOA "a leader in the sanctuary movement" this year. Indeed, 2020 saw many localities becoming Second Amendment sanctuaries. And GOA staffers and activists were working with local officials to draft and pass these ordinances in many states. To date, over 1,100 municipalities in 34 states have passed such measures. If you'd like to make your community a Second Amendment sanctuary, please visit gunownersaction.org/SAD.

-- GOA's Top Ten in 2020 December, 2020

Santa Clara Sheriff's Officials, Apple Security Chief Indicted in Alleged CCW Bribe

By Dave Workman

U.S.A. --(AmmoLand.com)- A Santa Clara County, California grand jury has indicted two Sheriff's Office officials, a local business owner and the Global Security chief for Apple, Inc., with bribery in an alleged effort to trade iPads in exchange for concealed carry licenses for Apple employees.

Undersheriff Rick Sung, Sheriff's Capt. James Jensen, Apple Chief Security Officer Thomas Moyer, and insurance broker Harpreet Chadha will be arraigned on Jan. 11, 2021 to determine whether there is enough evidence "to go forward to a trial."

CNET, a newsgroup that covers the tech industry, and the San Jose Mercury News are also reporting on the charges.

"Undersheriff Sung and Captain Jensen treated CCW [carrying a concealed weapon] licenses as commodities and found willing buyers," DA Jeff Rosen alleged. "Bribe seekers should be reported to the DA's Office."

The DA alleged, "In the case of four CCW licenses withheld from Apple employees, Sung and Jensen managed to extract from Thomas Moyer a promise that Apple would donate 200 iPads to the Sheriff's Office."

In Chadha's case, the DA alleged, "Sung managed to extract from Chadha a promise of \$6,000 worth of luxury box seat tickets to a San Jose Sharks hockey game in 2019. Sheriff Laurie Smith's family members and some of her biggest political supporters held a celebration of her re-election as Sheriff in the suite."

Concealed carry permits are not easy to obtain in Santa Clara County. California is a discretionary issue state, so each law enforcement agency can be as generous or as tight with carry permits.

The District Attorney's news release acknowledged that "the sheriff has broad discretion in determining who should qualify."

Over the years, gun rights activists have contended that "discretionary issue" laws [such as those in California] can easily create an environment where the process can be abused, with permits being issued only to certain officials, wealthy elites, or political supporters of the local sheriff.

Other states have "shall issue" requirements that mandate that applicants who pass background checks and meet any other statutory requirements must be issued a carry license or permit.

AmmoLand found that in 2011, the California County News reported, "Santa Clara County Sheriff Laurie Smith is under fire over her department's process for awarding concealed weapons permits. For instance, a former police officer who owned an investigative firm had his permit request turned down by Smith, so now the Sheriff's Department is facing a

lawsuit for allegedly handing out the permits in an arbitrary manner.-- www.ammoland.com Nov. 22, 2020

Press Release: Statement of Wauwatosa Mayor Dennis R. McBride

Yesterday, eight people were shot at Mayfair Mall. Fortunately, all eight have survived and are believed to be recovering.

Preliminary investigation by the Wauwatosa Police Department (WPD) indicates that the shooting was the result of an altercation and not a random act.

Wauwatosa is a safe and vibrant community. Mayfair Mall is Wisconsin's premier shopping center. Events like this shake us, but a community as strong as Wauwatosa is will not lose its spirit because of this unfortunate incident and Mayfair will continue to be a magnet for shoppers across the region. The City and Mayfair are committed to ensuring that all who live in and visit Wauwatosa will remain safe.

Guns have no place in shopping malls or other places in which crowds of people gather. Mayfair has a strict no-gun policy. If the shooter had complied with that policy, no one would have been hurt yesterday.

On behalf of the community, I thank the officers of the WPD and nearby police departments for their protection of shoppers, employees, and other members of the greater Milwaukee community.

-- urbamilwaukee.com November 21, 2020
Ed.: the blind leading the blind?

Joe Biden: Return of the CFR

By Tyler Durden

Joe Biden promised that he would form "the most diverse cabinet" in US history. This may be true in terms of skin color and gender, but almost all of his key future cabinet members have one thing in common: they are, indeed, members of the US Council on Foreign Relations.

This is the case for Anthony Blinken (State), Alejandro Mayorkas (Homeland Security), Janet Yellen (Treasury), Michele Flournoy and Jeh Johnson (candidates for Defense), Linda Thomas-Greenfield (Ambassador to the UN), Richard Stengel (US Agency for Global Media; Stengel famously called propaganda "a good thing" at a 2018 CFR session), John Kerry (Special Envoy for Climate), Nelson Cunningham (candidate for Trade), and Thomas Donilon (candidate for CIA Director).

Jake Sullivan, Biden's National Security Advisor, is not (yet) a CFR member, but Sullivan has been a Senior Fellow at the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (a think tank "promoting active international engagement by the United States") and a member of the US German Marshall Fund's "Alliance For Securing Democracy" (a major promoter of the "Russiagate" disinformation campaign to restrain the Trump presidency), both of which are run by senior CFR members.

Most of Biden's CFR-vetted nominees supported recent US wars against Afghanistan, Iraq,

Libya, Syria and Yemen as well as the 2014 regime change in Ukraine. Unsurprisingly, neoconservative Max Boot, the CFR Senior Fellow in National Security Studies and one of the most vocal opponents of the Trump administration, has called Biden's future cabinet "America's A-Team".

Thus, after four years of "populism" and "isolationism", a Biden presidency will mean the return of the CFR and the continuation of a tradition of more than 70 years. Indeed, the CFR was founded in 1921 in response to the "trauma of 1920", when US President Warren Harding and the US Senate turned isolationist and renounced US global leadership after World War I. In 2016, Donald Trump's "America First" campaign reactivated this 100 year old foreign policy trauma.

Why are most US and international media hardly interested in this? Well, why should they?

--Swiss Policy Research 11/26/2020

Antifa attacks then runs away after patriot group fights back

Antifa members came out to challenge a patriot group in Olympia, Washington, but retreated after the group fought off their attack, according to witnesses and Twitter posts. The Antifa group reportedly posted a "bash fascists" poster on social media before the incident.

Multiple brawls took place in the capital city of Olympia on Saturday after an Antifa group showed up to confront a patriot group, independent videographer Andrew Duncomb told Breitbart News. "Antifa didn't expect to be outnumbered," Duncomb said. "They quickly retreated after the patriots fought back."

Duncomb said the patriot's protest was peaceful until Antifa began breaking into vehicles and stealing flags from the cars to burn in the street.

Punches and pepper spray flew freely between the groups. At one point in the brawl captured on video by Duncomb, a shot can be heard and multiple people reported, "shots fired."

Olympia police officials tweeted confirmation of the shooting and the subsequent arrest.

A second brawl began as Antifa attempted to burn a flag Duncomb said they stole from a vandalized vehicle. One man, armed with a rifle, manages to secure the flag from a fallen Antifa member.

Antifa again retreats from the confrontation.

AntifaWatch tweeted the patriots were called to rally for a "Re-Open WA" event. Antifa responded, calling the group "Nazi punks," and urged followers to turn out and "Squash Fascists."

Duncomb said things were different this time because patriots outnumbered Antifa for a change.

In October, Duncomb experienced being outnumbered when an Antifa man wearing black bloc [sic] sucker-punched him at a Free Speech rally in San Francisco. The attack left Duncomb with at least two teeth knocked out. -- www.breitbart.com 5 Dec 2020

St. Louis circuit attorney off McCloskey gun case after using it for fundraising, judge rules

The circuit attorney for the city of St. Louis has been disqualified from prosecuting Mark and Patricia McCloskey, a local couple facing felony charges after defending their home with weapons when protesters marched through their neighborhood.

The content of some campaign fundraising emails by Circuit Attorney Kim Gardner infringed on the McCloskeys' right to receive a fair trial, Circuit Judge Thomas Clark II ruled Thursday.

By charging the McCloskeys in July, she appeared to have "initiated a criminal prosecution for political purposes," the judge wrote.

Clark wrote, "These emails are tailored to use the June 28 incident to solicit money by positioning her against defendant and her more vocal critics."

The McCloskeys were indicted in October on felony charges of unlawful use of weapons and tampering with physical evidence stemming from the June incident. They have pleaded not guilty.

Images of the McCloskeys, with Mark holding a rifle and Patricia holding a handgun, were seen nationwide this past summer as the couple became symbols of ordinary Americans trying to defend themselves and their property against a wave of rioting and other violence in cities across the U.S. in reaction to the May 25 death of George Floyd in police custody in Minneapolis.

The ruling was a win for the McCloskeys after they requested Gardner's removal from the case in October, citing the fundraising emails.

-- www.foxnews.com December 11, 2020

Title IX Offices Are Sex Monitors, Not Education Monitors

Title IX, the federal law banning sex discrimination at schools receiving federal funds, has been weaponized by campus administrators and is now used to persecute students accused of sexual misconduct, concludes a new report from the National Association of Scholars (NAS). *Dear Colleague: The Weaponization of Title IX* calls for a total overhaul of the broken Title IX regime.

"Most Title IX offices are exclusively female, ardently feminist, and have no one on staff with courtroom experience, even though they are running a parallel quasi legal system on," said the report's author, Teresa R. Manning.

"Given the prevalence of feminist and gender ideology in Title IX offices, alongside their lack of relevant legal experience, it is no wonder that so many students accused of sexual misconduct are not getting due process in campus Title IX cases."

The report recommends a full reform of Title IX by:

*Sidelining ideologues and abandoning the hysteria of the "campus rape epidemic" to follow the data;

*Prioritizing and publicizing due process protections for students;

*Requiring Title IX offices to hire staff with criminal defense experience; and

*Stopping sexual misconduct before it happens by educating students on the benefits of healthy relationships that exist outside the "frat scene" or the "hook up" culture. "Title IX is broken, but its purpose remains sound," explains Manning. "Equal access to education is essential. This is why we recommend a full reform of Title IX and recommend the firing and dismissal of all Title IX administrators who abuse their power by punishing students for conduct the administrators themselves likely encouraged and who then refuse these same students due process and fundamental fairness." – NAS Press release October 28, 2020

Senators Question the College Board About Ties to China

Seven U.S. senators have mailed a letter to College Board CEO David Coleman, asking him to explain his organization's close ties to the Hanban, the Chinese government agency that created Confucius Institutes. The College Board not only received nearly \$700,000 from the Chinese government for the creation of the AP Chinese Language and Culture Test, but also sponsors Confucius Institutes and recruits school districts, principals, and teachers for Chinese government-run training programs.

The letter, sent from Senator Marsha Blackburn (R-TN) and co-signed by Republican Senators Cotton (AR), Hawley (MO), Loeffler (GA), Lee (UT), Lankford (OK), and Rubio (FL), notes that "We are concerned that the PRC exploits its partnership."

Drawing on the National Association of Scholars' report, *Corrupting the College Board: Confucius Institutes and K-12 Education*, the letter describes the College Board's numerous partnerships with the Chinese government.

NAS applauds Senator Blackburn and her cosigners for questioning the College Board's close relationship with the Chinese government. We hope the College Board will respond promptly, and we reiterate our call for the College Board to sever its partnerships with the Chinese government.

-- www.nas.org October 20, 2020

Ed.: there are two Confucius Institutes in New Jersey, at Rutgers University and New Jersey City University. Last year as a private citizen we wrote to the presidents of both, but neither replied.

NRA delivers Nerf guns to 4-year-old after mall Santa denies his request for the toy

A mall Santa refused Michael DeCarlo's request for a Nerf gun for Christmas, so now the National Rifle Association is stepping in to help the 4-year-old.

The gun rights group released a video of Santa delivering a sack of the popular toys to

the child's home in Illinois as part of their "mission to make Michael's Christmas the best ever."

Earlier this month, DeCarlo had asked a Santa Claus at the Harlem Irving Plaza shopping center in Norridge for a Nerf gun for Christmas – but was promptly denied.

A video taken by his grandmother shows the Santa denying DeCarlo's request, saying, "Nope, no guns." That exchange was then posted on Facebook and went viral.

When his mother clarified that he was asking for a Nerf gun, he said, 'Nope, not even a Nerf gun.'

"If your dad wants to get it for you that's fine, but I can't bring it to you," the Santa added. He then asked DeCarlo what else he would like. Shortly thereafter, DeCarlo started to cry.

Harlem Irving Plaza later wrote on Facebook that the mall and their third-party Santa company were "distracted and deeply apologetic about the unfortunate incident".

"The Santa company will continue to remind all Santa's how important it is to not impose personal opinions during visits with the children," the statement continued.

The mall then said the Santa who denied his request has since resigned.

"Americans of all backgrounds came together and rallied for my son," the boy's mother, Sabella, later says in the NRA's video, which doubles as an advertisement for the organization. "We have received hundreds of Nerf guns and Michael will be distributing those to other little patriots in need." -- www.foxnews.com December 14, 2020

How Judges and Democrats Colluded to Force DACA on Americans Even Though It's Illegal

The Department of Homeland Security announced this week it will begin accepting applications for the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program.

But DACA, an Obama-era program created by bureaucratic fiat, violates the Constitution's separation of powers.

The program's recipients, mostly people who were brought to the U.S. illegally before age 18, have earned degrees, begun careers, paid taxes, married, and had some 200,000 children who are U.S. citizens.

Many Republicans want to end the program, not to punish DACA recipients but to pressure Congress to reform a dysfunctional and sclerotic immigration system.

The Trump administration's approach was that only an act of Congress could shield people from deportation or authorize work permits for illegal immigrants, as DACA purported to do.

In 2019, Trump proposed a three-year extension of DACA in exchange for \$5.7 billion in funding for a border wall. The idea was to allow Congress time to devise a permanent solution for DACA recipients, perhaps as part of a broader overhaul of the immigration system.

But congressional Democrats rejected the president's offer even before it was announced.

Programs like DACA, which effectively granted amnesty to illegal immigrants, amount to lawmaking and ought to be passed by lawmakers, not decreed by presidents who can't get their preferred policies through a divided Congress.

DACA is not law—not even an executive order. It is a memo, an executive memorandum in June 2012 from then-Secretary of Homeland Security Janet Napolitano directing her departments to exercise "prosecutorial discretion" on behalf of anyone who met certain requirements.

That is, under the guise of "discretion," Obama managed both to ignore existing federal immigration law—thereby betraying his oath of office to "take Care that the Laws be faithfully executed"—and effectively create new law by administrative decree.

So far, the U.S. Supreme Court has let them do it. In June, the court issued a narrowly tailored 5-4 ruling that the Trump administration could not dismantle DACA because DHS's plan to do so did not comply with the Administrative Procedures Act (APA).

Writing for the majority, Chief Justice John Roberts argued the court was not weighing in on whether DACA's creation or rescission were "sound policies," only that the decision to end the program didn't comply with "the procedural requirements in the law that insist on 'a reasoned explanation for its action.'" As a result, wrote Roberts, Trump's attempt to end DACA was "arbitrary and capricious."

One might reply, as Justice Clarence Thomas did in his dissent, that the creation of DACA was clearly an abuse of power by the executive branch, which arrogated to itself legislative authority to change the law. Roberts and the majority ruled as they did, wrote Thomas, only to "to avoid a politically controversial but legally correct decision."

That Trump's strategy failed means Democrats under a Biden administration will no doubt seek once again to change immigration law—not by a congressional vote, but by bureaucratic fiat.

-- thefederalist.com December 11, 2020

NJM, P.O. Box 10176, Trenton New Jersey 08650

ISSN 1523-4657

Back issues, from 1995 to date, can be found online. Just go to <https://archive.org/> Select search metadata, and enter New Jersey Militia. Or do a google search for "New Jersey Militia Newsletter". So, grab the PDFs and pass them around via email.

Newsletter Subscription - Donation \$10.00

Sorry, no bank account - Cash or blank MO only

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State ____ Zip _____